

ARBITRATION AWARDS - printed Jun 24, 1998

AISI	Case#	Arb.	DEC	Description
				procedure.
180.600	4-E-2	136	D	Union claim unsubstantiated; no local condition
70.200	20-D-32,33	137	G	Grievant's returned to jobs of labor leaders
190.460	16-C-305	138	D	No inequitability found
130.230	16-D-78	139	D	No basis for change in classification
220.960	7-E-2	140	D	Reduction not due to decreased business activity.
130.190	8-E-7	141	D	Co. correct in installing new job description
220.500	14-E-3	142	D	Company posted sequence within specified time period.
130.230	20-D-29	144	D	No basis for recoding of factors found
220.10.4	21-E-18	145	D	Grievant's medical restriction upheld
220.250	17-E-17	146	D	Company's right to establish new sequence upheld
220.250	14-E-2	147	D	Company's right to establish new sequence upheld
220.250	13-E-10	148	D	Company's right to establish new sequence upheld
30.160	16-E-10	149	G	Believe reprimand given to Harold King #13167 on 10-28-54 was unnecessary.
190.460	16-E-19	150	D	Establishment/adjustment of incentives under CBA
190.460	17-E-24	151	G	Plant found to be inequitable
220.120	9-E-13	152	D	Company attempted to fill vacancy
130.180	16-E-16	153	D	..frozen bonus ...New jobs do not carry specific contractual guarantees.
0.000	16-E-20	154	NA	Grievance never heard. Withdrawn
100.630	14-E-21	155	D	Language of 1956 agreement is controlling
190.460	16-E-22	156	D	Plan found not to be inequitable
130.160	19-E-27	157	D	Job description not all encompassing.
130.160	19-E-26	158	D	Job description not all encompassing;see award 157